

B. C. asks the Utah Labor Commission to reconsider its prior decision denying Mr. C.'s claim for benefits under the Utah Workers' Compensation Act ("the Act"; Title 34A, Chapter 2, Utah Code Ann.). The Labor Commission exercises jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §63-46b-13.

BACKGROUND AND ISSUES PRESENTED

Mr. C. seeks workers' compensation or occupational disease benefits for medical problems involving his right wrist. In its previous decision, the Commission denied Mr. C.'s claim for failure to prove a medical causal connection between his work and his wrist problems. Mr. C. now asks the Commission to reconsider its decision. In requesting reconsideration, Mr. C. reiterates his arguments that he has submitted sufficient evidence to establish a medical causal connection between his work at Chromolox and his injury.

DISCUSSION

The Commission has reviewed Mr. C.'s arguments and supporting evidence. However, the Commission remains of the opinion that Mr. C. has not produced sufficient evidence to establish the necessary medical causal relationship between his work and his injury.

ORDER

The Commission affirms its previous decision and denies Mr. C.'s request for reconsideration. It is so ordered.

Dated this 29th day of August, 2005.

R. Lee Ellertson, Utah Labor Commissioner